Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/757,369	YAMAMOTO ET AL.	
Examiner	**Art Unit**	
Chat C. Do	2193	

All Participants:

(1) *Chat C. Do*.

(2) *Paul D. Bianco, Reg. No. 43,500*.

Status of Application: *Pending*

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: *11 October 2010*

Time: *3:00 PM*

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
None

Claims discussed:
1-75

Prior art documents discussed:
None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The examiner initated a call to the attorney of record, Mr. Paul D. Bianco, to discuss the potential election/restriction requirement of this application. Upon the discussion, the attorney indicated a written election/restriction requirement is referable since the client(s) is in Japan.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Chat C. Do/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2193

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)